

# Midwest Muskmelon Variety Trial in Southwest Indiana — 2011

**Shubin K. Saha, Vegetable Extension Specialist, Department of Horticulture and  
Landscape Architecture**

**Sara Hoke, Agriculture Technician, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology  
Southwest Purdue Agricultural Center, Vincennes, IN, 47591**

## Introduction

Although muskmelons in Indiana may not be produced on the same scale as some states such as California and Arizona, they still remain important as a contributor to the overall agricultural economy in the state. Indiana is ranked fifth in the nation in terms of acres harvested (2,300) and it is ranked sixth in total value (\$6.2 million) (USDA, 2011).

Overall, management of muskmelon production entails a number of different practices to optimize yield and fruit quality. One of the primary starting points for a commercial producer is to start with good genetics for both yield and quality. The objective of this project is to evaluate the growth and yield of 25 muskmelon varieties grown under southwestern Indiana conditions.

## Materials and Methods

On April 12, 2011, the experiment was established when seeds of each variety were sown. This season there were 25 varieties submitted from various seed companies. Seeds were started in 50-cell black seedling flats (Crop Tech, Vincennes, IN) using a peat based soilless media, Jiffy-Mix Grower's Choice Plus (Jiffy Products of America, Lorain, Ohio). The experimental field was prepared by tillage, application of fertilizer material, formation of raised beds, and installation of black plastic mulch and drip tape. Fertilizer was applied at a rate of 350 lbs (46-0-0), 100 lbs (0-0-60), and 200 lbs of pelletized lime. Planting density was 22 plants per plot, with plot dimensions of 6 feet x 55 feet. In-row plant spacing was 2.5 feet.

On May 12, 2011, transplants were planted in the field in the appropriate plots. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replicates. Plants were harvested three times per week over four weeks for a total of twelve harvests beginning July 18 and ending on August 12. Fruit number, weight, and average fruit weight were all collected during harvest.

Additionally nine fruit from each variety (three fruit per replicate) were evaluated for various quality parameters such as soluble solids, fruit firmness, seed cavity length, seed cavity width, overall fruit length, overall fruit width, and rind thickness. Data were analyzed by Fisher's least significant difference test using SAS statistical programs (SAS Institute, Cary, NC.)

## Results

In 2011, Wrangler had the greatest total fruit per acre (9,504) as compared to 21 of 25 varieties evaluated this season (Table 1). More importantly, it had a greater yield than Aphrodite which is a standard variety grown throughout southwest Indiana and other muskmelon growing regions. However one must also consider that the average fruit weight for Wrangler was 3.0 lbs less than Aphrodite, hence it produces a smaller fruit (Table 1). The market and brokers determine if the smaller fruit are acceptable to the consumer. Although commercial producers are paid per piece as opposed to weight, they must produce a product that is accepted in the market.

ACX428ES and Solstice had the greatest numerical average fruit weights (7.8 lbs and 7.7 lbs respectively) and were statistically greater than 22 of the 25 varieties (Table 1). Average fruit weight varied from 2.0 to 7.8 lbs and average fruit weight per acre varied from 4,248 lbs to 49,963 lbs (Table 1).

Orange Beauty had the highest numerical soluble solid content (12.3 %), which was significantly greater than 22 of the varieties evaluated this season (Table 2). However, Orange Beauty was one of the lowest yielding varieties (3,080 fruit/acre) due to extreme fruit cracking (Table 1). The other varieties with high soluble solid content relative to the other varieties included ACX3477, Florida, and ACX 779 (Table 2). There was some variation in fruit firmness amongst varieties with E1032 and E1029 being some of the firmest at 10.9 and 10.4 lbs-force, respectively (Table 2). Fruit firmness of muskmelon varieties ranged from 5.4 to 10.9 lbs-force (Table 2). There were no significant differences in rind thickness.

Although yield is likely one of the muskmelon traits of great importance, it ultimately takes a combination of traits including those that lead to good internal fruit quality. Based on the results in comparison with some popular local varieties, Yosemite had fruit numbers and soluble solids comparable to Athena and greater fruit number compared to Aphrodite (Tables 1 and 2). E1029 was another variety that performed well overall and was also comparable to Athena and Aphrodite with respect to fruit number per acre and soluble solids content (Tables 1 and 2).

## **Acknowledgements**

The author would like to extend his appreciation to the following individuals for all their help and assistance with the completion of the variety trials this year: Dennis Nowaskie, Bill Davis, and Angie Thompson.

## **Literature Cited**

United States Department of Agriculture, 2011. National Agricultural Statistics Service. Vegetables 2010 Summary. <http://usda01.library.cornell.edu/usda/current/VegeSumm/VegeSumm-01-27-2011.pdf>.

**Table 1.** Harvest data of muskmelon varieties, 2011.

Variety	Seed Company	Total Fruit Number per Plot <sup>1,2</sup>	Total Fruit Number per Acre	Ave. Fruit Weight (lb)	Total Weight per Plot (lb)	Total Weight per Acre (lb)	% early season	% mid season	% late season
Wrangler	Hollar	72.0 a	9,504 a	3.9 jk	278.9 e	36,811 e	53.7	29.6	16.7
E1032	American Takii	68.7 ab	9,064 ab	4.9 ghi	338.9 abcde	44,729 abcde	32.5	22.8	44.7
Yosemite	Seminis	63.3 abc	8,360 abc	4.4 ij	278.9 e	36,814 e	30.5	41.6	27.9
Atitlan	Seminis	61.3 abcd	8,096 abcd	4.7 hi	284.9 de	37,600 de	13.0	48.9	38.0
Bucanero	Seminis	60.7 bcde	8,008 bcde	5.4 efg	327.8 abcde	43,275 abcde	30.8	37.9	31.3
Tirreno	Rupp	60.0 bcdef	7,920 bcdef	5.0 fgh	304.1 cde	40,142 cde	38.9	36.7	24.4
E1029	American Takii	59.3 bcdefg	7,832 bcdefg	5.2 efgh	308.7 cde	40,752 cde	20.8	27.0	52.2
E1016	American Takii	59.0 bcdefgh	7,788 bcdefgh	5.0 fgh	295.2 de	38,962 de	43.5	23.7	32.8
Athena	Syngenta	52.7 cdefghi	6,952 cdefghi	5.5 efg	289.0 de	38,147 de	55.7	25.9	18.4
ACR 4067 ES	Abbott & Cobb	51.3 defghi	6,776 defghi	7.4 ab	381.7 a	50,380 a	42.2	40.9	16.9
Atlantis	Sakata	50.7 defghi	6,688 defghi	5.7 de	289.5 de	38,215 de	36.8	24.3	38.8
ACX 4276 XWS	Abbott & Cobb	50.3 efghi	6,644 efghi	6.2 d	314.2 cde	41,475 cde	7.3	37.7	55.0
E1023	American Takii	50.3 efghi	6,644 efghi	5.6 ef	281.0 e	37,088 e	41.1	21.2	37.7
E1030	American Takii	50.0 efghi	6,600 efghi	5.7 de	279.7 e	36,918 e	20.7	32.7	46.7
Origami	Harris Moran	49.7 fghi	6,556 fghi	6.9 b	344.4 abcd	45,458 abcd	63.1	22.1	14.8
ACX 428 ES	Abbott & Cobb	48.7 ghi	6,424 ghi	7.8 a	378.51 ab	49,963 ab	24.0	53.4	22.6
Aphrodite	Syngenta	48.3 hi	6,380 hi	6.9 bc	336.5 abcde	44,412 abcde	59.3	19.3	21.4
Samoa	Harris Moran	48.0 i	6,336 i	6.2 d	299.4 de	39,520 de	15.3	53.5	31.3
Solstice	Rupp	47.3 i	6,248 i	7.7 a	361.9 abc	47,775 abc	76.1	12.7	11.3
Ariel	Syngenta	47.0 i	6,204 i	6.2 d	292.2 de	38,574 de	67.4	16.3	16.3
ACX 779	Abbott & Cobb	46.7 i	6,160 i	6.8 bc	319.0 bcde	42,107 bcde	7.9	37.1	55.0
ACX 3477 XES	Abbott & Cobb	45.7 i	6,028 i	6.3 cd	287.6 de	37,961 de	16.8	38.7	44.5
ACR 4017 ESX	Abbott & Cobb	43.3 i	5,720 i	7.1 b	307.8 cde	40,626 cde	41.5	36.9	21.5
Orange Beauty	Seminis	23.3 j	3,080 j	3.5 k	80.6 f	10,632 f	65.7	32.9	1.4
Florida	Seminis	16.0 j	2,112 j	2.0 l	32.2 f	4,248 f	0.0	100.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Plot size=330ft<sup>2</sup>.<sup>2</sup>Means in columns separated by Fisher's least significant difference test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), means with same letter are not significantly different.

**Table 2.** Internal fruit quality of muskmelon varieties, 2011.

Variety	Seed Company	Brix <sup>1,2</sup>	Firmness (lbs-force) <sup>3</sup>	Rind Thickness (in)	Seed Cavity Length (in)	Seed Cavity Width (in)	Fruit Length (in)	Fruit Width (in)
Orange Beauty	Seminis	12.3 a	9.8 abc	0.4	3.2 hi	2.3	6.0 kl	5.9 b
ACX 3477 XES	Abbott & Cobb	11.5 ab	5.6 h	0.3	4.8 cde	3.0	7.4 fg	6.8 b
Florida	Seminis	10.9 abc	9.6 abc	0.2	3.1 i	2.2	5.5 l	5.0 b
ACX 779	Abbott & Cobb	10.7 bcd	8.8 abcdef	0.4	4.9 bcde	2.4	8.3 abcde	7.1 b
ACX 4276 XWS	Abbott & Cobb	10.4 bcde	8.4 bcdefg	0.3	4.9 bcde	2.9	7.9 defg	7.1 b
E1023	American Takii	10.1 bcdef	9.5 abcd	0.4	5.3 abc	2.5	8.0 cdefg	6.0 b
ACR 4017 ESX	Abbott & Cobb	9.9 cdefg	6.4 gh	0.4	5.6 ab	3.0	8.7 ab	7.2 b
Aphrodite	Syngenta	9.7 cdefgh	8.0 cdefg	0.4	5.2 abcd	3.7	8.1 bcdef	7.7 b
Atlantis	Sakata	9.7 cdefgh	6.9 fgh	0.3	4.9 cde	3.1	7.6 efghi	13.0 a
Ariel	Syngenta	9.6 cdefghi	7.9 cdefg	0.3	4.7 cde	3.3	7.7 efgh	7.4 b
Yosemite	Seminis	9.6 cdefghi	6.5 gh	0.3	4.0 fg	2.7	6.6 jk	6.1 b
E1030	American Takii	9.4 defghi	8.9 abcdef	0.3	4.5 ef	2.8	7.4 ghi	6.3 b
Athena	Syngenta	9.2 efghi	7.4 defgh	0.5	4.8 cde	3.1	7.6 efgh	6.8 b
E1029	American Takii	9.2 efghi	10.4 ab	0.3	4.4 efg	2.8	7.0 hij	6.0 b
Solstice	Rupp	9.1 efghij	5.4 h	0.4	5.6 ab	3.3	8.9 a	7.6 b
E1016	American Takii	9.0 efghij	8.6 bcdef	0.4	4.6 def	5.2	7.4 fg	6.1 b
E1032	American Takii	8.9 fghij	10.9 a	0.4	3.8 gh	2.6	7.0 hij	6.0 b
ACR 4067 ES	Abbott & Cobb	8.9 fghij	7.8 cdefg	0.4	5.2 abcd	3.0	8.3 abcde	7.4 b
ACX 428 ES	Abbott & Cobb	8.8 fghij	8.4 bcdefg	0.4	5.6 a	2.9	8.7 abc	7.1 b
Atitlan	Seminis	8.7 fghij	9.2 abcde	0.4	4.4 efg	2.6	6.9 ij	6.2 b
Wrangler	Hollar	8.5 ghij	7.9 cdefg	0.3	4.3 efg	2.4	7.0 hij	5.8 b
Bucanero	Seminis	8.3 hij	9.9 abc	0.4	4.4 efg	2.5	7.4 ghi	6.5 b
Samoa	Harris Moran	8.1 ij	8.5 bcdefg	0.4	5.6 a	2.7	8.3 abcde	6.7 b
Origami	Harris Moran	7.7 j	9.2 abcde	0.4	5.7 a	3.0	8.5 abcd	7.2 b
Tirreno	Rupp	7.7 j	7.4 efgh	0.4	4.7 cde	2.6	7.2 hij	6.4 b

<sup>1</sup>Brix: percent soluble solids. Higher values related to higher sugar content in the fruit.

<sup>2</sup>Means in columns separated by Fisher's least significant difference test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), means with same letter are not significantly different.

<sup>3</sup>Firmness of the flesh of the melon. Higher value is associated with higher firmness.